arXiv:1403.0318v2 [math.AG] 7 Mar 2014

Noether's Problem for Groups of Order 243

Huah Chu[(1)], Akinari Hoshi[(2)], Shou-Jen Hu[(3)], Ming-chang Kang[(4)]

[(1)]Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan; hchu@math.ntu.edu.tw

[(2)]Department of Mathematics, Niigata University, Niigata, Japan; hoshi@math.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp

[(3)]Department of Mathematics, Tamkang University, Taipei, Taiwan; sjhu@math.tku.edu.tw

[(4)]Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan; kang@math.ntu.edu.tw

Abstract. Let k be any field, G be a finite group. Let G act on the rational function field $k(x_g : g \in G)$ by k-automorphisms defined by $h \cdot x_g = x_{hg}$ for any $g, h \in G$. Denote by $k(G) = k(x_g : g \in G)^G$ the fixed field. Noether's problem asks, under what situations, the fixed field $k(G)$ will be rational (= purely transcendental) over k. According to the data base of GAP there are 10 isoclinism families for groups of order 243. It is known that there are precisely 3 groups G of order 243 (they consist of the isoclinism family Φ_{10}) such that the unramified Brauer group of $\mathbb{C}(G)$ over $\mathbb{C}$ is non-trivial. Thus $\mathbb{C}(G)$ is not rational over $\mathbb{C}$. We will prove that, if $\zeta_9 \in k$, then $k(G)$ is rational over k for groups of order 243 other than these 3 groups, except possibly for groups belonging to the isoclinism family Φ_7.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 13A50, 11R32, 14E08, Secondary 12F12.
Keywords and phrases: Noether's problem, rationality problem, p-groups.
The second-named author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI, Grant Number 25400027.

§1. Introduction

Let k be a field, and L be a finitely generated field extension of k. L is called k-rational (or rational over k) if L is purely transcendental over k, i.e. L is isomorphic to some rational function field over k. L is called stably k-rational if $L(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ is k-rational for some $y_1, \dots, y_m$ which are algebraically independent over L. L is called k-unirational if L is k-isomorphic to a subfield of some k-rational field extension of k. It is easy to see that "k-rational" $\Rightarrow$ "stably k-rational" $\Rightarrow$ "k-unirational".

A classical question, the Lüroth problem by some people, asks whether a k-unirational field L is necessarily k-rational. For a survey of the question, see [MT] and [CTS].

Noether's problem is a special case of the above Lüroth problem.

Let k be a field and G be a finite group. Let G act on the rational function field $k(x_g : g \in G)$ by k-automorphisms defined by $h \cdot x_g = x_{hg}$ for any $g, h \in G$. Denote by $k(G)$ the fixed subfield, i.e. $k(G) = k(x_g : g \in G)^G$. Noether's problem asks, under what situation, the field $k(G)$ is k-rational.

Theorem 1.1 (Fischer [Fi], see also [Sw2, Theorem 6.1]) *Let G be a finite abelian group of exponent e, k be a field containing a primitive e-th root of unity. Then $k(G)$ is k-rational.*

Theorem 1.2 (Kuniyoshi, Gaschütz [Ku1], [Ku2], [Ku3], [Ga]) *Let k be a field with $\operatorname{char} k = p > 0$, G be a finite p-group. Then $k(G)$ is k-rational.*

Noether's problem is related to the inverse Galois problem, to the existence of generic G-Galois extensions over k, and to the existence of versal G-torsors over k-rational field extensions [Sw2], [Sa1], [GMS, Section 33.1, page 86].

The first counter-example to Noether's problem was constructed by Swan [Sw1]: $\mathbb{Q}(C_p)$ is not $\mathbb{Q}$-rational if $p = 47$, 113 or 233 etc. where C_p is the cyclic group of order p. Noether's problem for finite abelian groups was studied extensively by Swan, Voskresenskii, Endo and Miyata, Lenstra, etc. For details, see Swan's survey paper [Sw2].

On the other hand, the results of Noether's problem for non-abelian groups are rather scarce. First of all, recall a notion of retract k-rationality introduced by Saltman (see [Sa3] or [Ka3]). It is known from the definition of retract k-rationality that, if k is an infinite field, then "stably k-rational" $\Rightarrow$ "retract k-rational" $\Rightarrow$ "k-unirational". It follows that, if $k(G)$ is not retract k-rational, then it is not k-rational.

In [Sa2], Saltman defines $\operatorname{Br}_{v,k}(k(G))$, the unramified Brauer group of $k(G)$ over k. It is known that, if $k(G)$ is retract k-rational, then the natural map $Br(k) \to \operatorname{Br}_{v,k}(k(G))$ is an isomorphism; in particular, if k is algebraically closed, then $\operatorname{Br}_{v,k}(k(G)) = 0$. Thus the crucial point in [Sa2] is to construct a p-group G with $\operatorname{Br}_{v,k}(k(G)) \neq 0$.

Theorem 1.3 (Saltman [Sa2]) *Let p be any prime number, k be any infinite field with $\operatorname{char} k \neq p$. Then there exists a meta-abelian p-group G of order p^9 such that $k(G)$ is not retract k-rational. It follows that $k(G)$ (in particular, $\mathbb{C}(G)$) is not k-rational.*

Bogomolov gives a formula ([Bo, Theorem 3.1]) for computing the unramified Brauer group and he is able to improve the bound of the group order to p^6.

Theorem 1.4 (Bogomolov [Bo, Lemma 5.6]) *There exists a p-group G of order p^6 such that $\mathrm{Br}_{v,\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) \neq 0$. It follows that $\mathbb{C}(G)$ is not retract $\mathbb{C}$-rational; thus it is not $\mathbb{C}$-rational.*

In [Bo, Remark 1], Bogomolov proposes to classify all the p-groups G of order p^6 with $\mathrm{Br}_{v,\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) \neq 0$. This is done in [CHKK, Theorem 1.8] for $p = 2$; in fact, it is shown that there are precisely nine groups G of order 64, $G(64, i)$ where $i = 149$, 150, 151, 170, 171, 172, 177, 178, 182 with $\mathrm{Br}_{v,\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) \neq 0$ (the notation $G(64, i)$ denotes the i-th group of order 64 in the database of GAP [GAP]). Moreover, it is known that, if G is a group of order 64 with $\mathrm{Br}_{v,\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) = 0$, then $\mathbb{C}(G)$ is $\mathbb{C}$-rational except possibly for five unsettled groups $G(64, i)$ with $241 \leq i \leq 245$ [CHKK, Theorem 1.10].

The notion of the unramified Brauer group is generalized to the unramified cohomology of degree q, $H^q_{v,\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}(G), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ where $q \geq 2$ by Colliot-Thélène and Ojanguren [CTO]. It is also known that, if $\mathbb{C}(G)$ is retract $\mathbb{C}$-rational, then $H^q_{v,\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}(G), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for all $q \geq 2$. Using the unramified cohomology of degree 3, $H^3_{v,\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}(G), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$, Peyre is able to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5 (Peyre [Pe2, Theorem 3]) *Let p be any odd prime number. There exists a p-group G of order p^{12} such that $\mathrm{Br}_{v,\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) = 0$ and $H^3_{v,\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}(G), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$. In particular, $\mathbb{C}(G)$ is not stably $\mathbb{C}$-rational.*

The triviality of the unramified Brauer group or the unramified cohomology of higher degree is just a necessary condition of $\mathbb{C}$-rationality of fields. It is unknown whether the vanishing of all the unramified cohomologies is a sufficient condition for $\mathbb{C}$-rationality. Asok [As] generalized Peyre's argument [Pe1] and established the following theorem for a smooth projective variety X:

Theorem 1.6 (Asok [As, Theorem 1, Theorem 3]) (1) *For any $n \geq 1$, there exists a smooth projective complex variety X that is $\mathbb{C}$-unirational, for which $H^i_{v,\mathbb{C}}(X, \mu_2^{\otimes i}) = 0$ for each $i < n$, yet $H^n_{v,\mathbb{C}}(X, \mu_2^{\otimes n}) \neq 0$, and so X is not $\mathbb{A}^1$-connected (nor stably $\mathbb{C}$-rational);*
(2) *For any prime number l and any $n \geq 2$, there exists a smooth projective rationally connected complex variety X such that $H^n_{v,\mathbb{C}}(X, \mu_l^{\otimes n}) \neq 0$. In particular, X is not $\mathbb{A}^1$-connected (nor stably $\mathbb{C}$-rational).*

We now consider p-groups of small order. By Fischer's Theorem (Theorem 1.1), if G is an abelian p-group and the base field k contains enough roots of unity, then $k(G)$ is k-rational. Hence we may focus on non-abelian groups.

Theorem 1.7 (Chu and Kang [CK, Theorem 1.6]) *Let G be a p-group of order p^3 or p^4. If k is a field satisfying* (i) $\mathrm{char}\, k = p > 0$, *or* (ii) $\mathrm{char}\, k \neq p$ *with $\zeta_e \in k$ where e is the exponent of the group G, then $k(G)$ is k-rational.*

By the above Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.7, it is interesting to know whether $k(G)$ is k-rational if G is any p-group of order p^5. Here is an answer when $p = 2$.

Theorem 1.8 (Chu, Hu, Kang and Prokhorov [CHKP, Theorem 1.5]) *Let G be a group of order 32 with exponent e. If k is a field satisfying* (i) $\operatorname{char} k = 2$, *or* (ii) $\operatorname{char} k \neq 2$ *with $\zeta_e \in k$, then $k(G)$ is k-rational.*

What happens to groups of order p^5 with $p \neq 2$?

In [Bo], Bogomolov claims a property for the unramified Brauer group.

Proposition 1.9 ([Bo, Lemma 4.11], [BMP, Corollary 2.11]) *If G is a p-group with $\mathrm{Br}_{v,\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) \neq 0$, then $|G| \geq p^6$.*

Unfortunately, the above proposition was disproved by the following result of Moravec.

Theorem 1.10 (Moravec [Mo, Section 8]) *Let G be a group of order 243. Then $\mathrm{Br}_{v,\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) \neq 0$ if and only if $G = G(243, i)$ with $28 \leq i \leq 30$, where $G(243, i)$ is the i-th group among groups of order 243 in the GAP database.*

Moravec's proof relies on computer computation. In [HoK], a theoretic proof of the non-vanishing of the three groups of order 243 is given. Recently, Hoshi, Kang and Kunyavskii [HKKu] are able to determine which p-groups have $\mathrm{Br}_{v,\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) \neq 0$ according to the isoclinism families they belong to.

Definition 1.11 *Two p-groups G_1 and G_2 are called isoclinic if there exist group isomorphisms $\theta\colon G_1/Z(G_1) \to G_2/Z(G_2)$ and $\phi\colon [G_1, G_1] \to [G_2, G_2]$ such that $\phi([g, h]) = [g', h']$ for any $g, h \in G_1$ with $g' \in \theta(gZ(G_1))$, $h' \in \theta(hZ(G_1))$.* (Note that $Z(G)$ and $[G, G]$ denote the center and the commutator subgroup of the group G respectively).

For a prime number p and a fixed integer n, let $G_n(p)$ be the set of all non-isomorphic groups of order p^n. In $G_n(p)$ consider an equivalence relation: two groups G_1 and G_2 are equivalent if and only if they are isoclinic. Each equivalence class of $G_n(p)$ is called an isoclinism family. There exist ten isoclinism families $\Phi_1, \ldots, \Phi_{10}$ for groups of order p^5.

The main theorem in [HKKu] can be stated as

Theorem 1.12 (Hoshi, Kang and Kunyavskii [HKKu, Theorem 1.12]) *Let p be any odd prime number, G be a group of order p^5. Then $\mathrm{Br}_{v,\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) \neq 0$ if and only if G belongs to the isoclinism family Φ_{10}. Each group G in the family Φ_{10} satisfies the condition $G/[G, G] \simeq C_p \times C_p$. There are precisely 3 groups which belong to Φ_{10} if $p = 3$. For $p \geq 5$, the total number of non-isomorphic groups which belong to Φ_{10} is*

$$1 + \gcd\{4, p - 1\} + \gcd\{3, p - 1\}.$$

Note that, for $p = 3$, the isoclinism family Φ_{10} consists of the groups $\Phi_{10}(2111)a_r$ (where $r = 0, 1$) and $\Phi_{10}(1^5)$ [Ja, page 621], which are just the groups $G(243, 29)$, $G(243, 30)$ and $G(243, 28)$ in GAP code numbers respectively.

Now we turn to the other groups G of order 243 with $\mathrm{Br}_{v,\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) = 0$. In this paper, we establish the rationality of $k(G)$, where k is any field with enough roots of unity, except for those five groups which belong to Φ_7.

We state the main result of this paper as follows.

Theorem 1.13 *Let G be a group of order 243 with exponent e. Let k be a field satisfying* (i) $\mathrm{char}\, k = 3$, *or* (ii) $\mathrm{char}\, k \neq 3$ *with $\zeta_e \in k$. If $\mathrm{Br}_{v,\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) = 0$, then $k(G)$ is k-rational, except possibly for the five groups G which belong to the isoclinism family Φ_7, i.e. $G = G(243, i)$ with $56 \leq i \leq 60$.*

The following two propositions provide some messages of $k(G)$ when G is order 243 and belongs to the isoclinism family Φ_7 or Φ_{10}.

Proposition 1.14 (The case Φ_7) *Let G_1 and G_2 be groups of order 243 which belong to the isoclinism family Φ_7. If k is a field with $\mathrm{char}\, k \neq 3$ and $\zeta_9 \in k$, then $k(G_1)$ is k-isomorphic to $k(G_2)$.*

Proposition 1.15 (The case Φ_{10}) *Let G_1 and G_2 be groups of order 243 which belong to the isoclinism family Φ_{10}. If k is a field with $\mathrm{char}\, k \neq 3$ and $\zeta_9 \in k$, then $k(G_1)$ is k-isomorphic to $k(G_2)$.*

We do not know whether $k(G)$ is k-rational if G belongs to Φ_7. In our attempt to solve the case of groups in Φ_7, the situation is very similar to that of Φ_5; the difference of these two cases looks almost "negligible". We did reach at false proof for groups in Φ_7 several times. But the difficulty is not overcome anyhow.

It is possible to prove the rationality for many groups of order p^5 (where $p \geq 5$) by the same method if G doesn't belong to the isoclinism family Φ_5, Φ_6, Φ_7, or Φ_{10}.

We explain briefly the idea of proving the above theorem. There are 67 groups of order 243 in total. Except for the 3 groups which belong to Φ_{10}, the k-rationality of $k(G)$ for many groups G may be obtained from the rationality criteria given in Section 2. Indeed, G belongs to Φ_1 if and only if G is abelian, and hence $k(G)$ is k-rational in this case by Theorem 1.1. If G belongs to Φ_2, Φ_3, Φ_4 or Φ_9, then there exists a normal abelian subgroup N of G such that G/N is cyclic of order 3. Then $k(G)$ is k-rational by Theorem 2.5. If G belongs to Φ_8, then there exists a normal cyclic subgroup N of G such that G/N is cyclic of order 9. Hence $k(G)$ is k-rational by Theorem 2.6.

There remain 14 groups G in total which belong to Φ_5, Φ_6 or Φ_7, for which the k-rationality of $k(G)$ should be studied further. In studying the rationality problem of these groups, new technical difficulties (different from the situations in [CK], [CHKP], [CHKK]) arise. Fortunately we are able to discover new methods to solve these difficulties (see Step 4 of Case 1 in Section 4, Steps 3 and 5 of Case 1 in Section 5). We hope these methods will be useful for other rationality problems.

This paper is organized as follows. We recall several rationality criteria in Section 2. In Section 3, we use the database of groups of order 243 in GAP and list generators and relations for 17 groups which belong to Φ_5, Φ_6, Φ_7 or Φ_{10}. We also exhibit a faithful

representation of these groups G for which the rationality of $k(G)$ will be discussed later. These faithful representations of G are obtained as the induced representations of some abelian normal subgroups of G of index 27. Section 4 and Section 5 consist of the proof of Theorem 1.13 for 7 groups $G(243, i)$, $3 \leq i \leq 9$, which belong to Φ_6 and for 2 groups $G(243, 65)$, $G(243, 66)$ which belong to Φ_5 respectively. The proof of Theorem 1.13, Proposition 1.14 and Proposition 1.15 will be given in Section 6.

Standing Notations. Throughout this paper, $k(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ will be rational function fields of n variables over k.

We denote by ζ_n a primitive n-th root of unity. Whenever we write $\zeta_n \in k$, it is understood that either $\operatorname{char} k = 0$ or $\operatorname{char} k > 0$ with $\gcd\{n, \operatorname{char} k\} = 1$. We always write ζ for ζ_3 for simplicity and η for a primitive 9th root of unity satisfying $\eta^3 = \zeta$.

I_n denotes the $n \times n$ identity matrix. If G is a group, $Z(G)$ denotes the center of G. If $g, h \in G$, define $[g, h] = g^{-1}h^{-1}gh$. The exponent of a group G is defined as $\operatorname{lcm}\{\operatorname{ord}(g) : g \in G\}$ where $\operatorname{ord}(g)$ is the order of the element g. All the groups in this article are finite groups. For emphasis, recall the definition $k(G) = k(x_g : g \in G)^G$ which was defined in the first paragraph of this section. The group $G(243, i)$, or $G(i)$ for short, is the i-th group of order 243 in the GAP database. The version of GAP used in this paper is GAP4, Version: 4.4.10 [GAP].

§2. Preliminaries

In this section, we record several results which will be used later.

Theorem 2.1 ([HK, Theorem 1]) *Let G be a finite group acting on $L(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, the rational function field of n variables over a field L. Suppose that*
(i) *for any $\sigma \in G$, $\sigma(L) \subset L$;*
(ii) *the restriction of the action of G to L is faithful; and*
(iii) *for any $\sigma \in G$,*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma(x_1) \\ \sigma(x_2) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma(x_n) \end{pmatrix} = A(\sigma) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} + B(\sigma)$$

where $A(\sigma) \in GL_n(L)$ and $B(\sigma)$ is an $n \times 1$ matrix over L.
Then there exist $z_1, \ldots, z_n \in L(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ such that $L(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = L(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ and $\sigma(z_i) = z_i$ for any $\sigma \in G$, any $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Theorem 2.2 ([HK, Theorem 1′]) *Let G be a finite group acting on $L(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$, the rational function field of m variables over a field L. Suppose that*
(i) *for any $\sigma \in G$, $\sigma(L) \subset L$;*

(ii) *the restriction of the actions of* G *to* L *is faithful; and*
(iii) *for any* $\sigma \in G$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma(x_1) \\ \sigma(x_2) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma(x_m) \end{pmatrix} = A(\sigma) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{pmatrix}$$

where $A(\sigma) \in GL_m(L)$.

Then G *acts on* $L(x_1/x_m, \ldots, x_{m-1}/x_m)$ *in the natural way. Moreover, there exist* $z_1, \ldots, z_m \in L(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ *such that* $L(x_1/x_m, \ldots, x_{m-1}/x_m) = L(z_1/z_m, \ldots, z_{m-1}/z_m)$ *and* $\sigma(z_i/z_m) = z_i/z_m$ *for any* $\sigma \in G$, *and* $1 \leq i \leq m-1$. *In fact,* $z_1, \ldots, z_m$ *can be defined*

$$z_j := \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{ij} x_i, \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq j \leq m$$

where $(\alpha_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq m} \in GL_m(L)$.

Lemma 2.3 *Let* $G = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \rangle \simeq C_3 \times C_3$ *act on the rational function field* $L(X, Y)$ *with two variables* X, Y *over* L. *Suppose that*
(i) *for any* $\sigma \in G$, $\sigma(L) \subset L$;
(ii) *the restriction of the actions of* G *to* L *is faithful; and*
(iii) G *acts on* $L(X, Y)$ *by*

$$\sigma_1 : X \mapsto Y \mapsto \frac{1}{XY}, \quad \sigma_2 : X \mapsto \frac{a}{\sigma_1(a)} X, Y \mapsto \frac{\sigma_1(a)}{\sigma_1^2(a)} Y$$

where $a \in L$ *satisfies* $a \cdot \sigma_2(a) \cdot \sigma_2^2(a) = 1$. *Then there exist* $Z, W \in L(X, Y)$ *such that* $L(X, Y) = L(Z, W)$ *and* $\sigma(Z) = Z, \sigma(W) = W$ *for any* $\sigma \in G$.

Proof. We consider the action of G on the rational function field $L(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ with three variables x_1, x_2, x_3 over L by

$$\sigma_1 : x_1 \mapsto x_2 \mapsto x_3 \mapsto x_1, \quad \sigma_2 : x_1 \mapsto a x_1, x_2 \mapsto \sigma_1(a) x_2, x_3 \mapsto \sigma_1^2(a) x_3.$$

Then $\sigma_2^3(x_i) = x_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ because $a \cdot \sigma_2(a) \cdot \sigma_2^2(a) = 1$. Note that $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 = \sigma_2 \sigma_1$.

Define $X = x_1/x_2$, $Y = x_2/x_3$. Then G acts on $L(X, Y)$ as in (iii). Apply Theorem 2.2. There exist $Z, W \in L(X, Y)$ such that $L(X, Y) = L(Z, W)$ and $\sigma(Z) = Z, \sigma(W) = W$ for any $\sigma \in G$ where $Z = z_1/z_2$, $W = z_2/z_3$ and z_1, z_2, z_3 are as in Theorem 2.2. □

Theorem 2.4 ([AHK, Theorem 3.1]) *Let* L *be any field,* $L(x)$ *be the rational function field of one variable over* L, G *be a finite group acting on* $L(x)$. *Suppose that, for any* $\sigma \in G$, $\sigma(L) \subset L$ *and* $\sigma(x) = a_\sigma x + b_\sigma$ *where* $a_\sigma, b_\sigma \in L$ *and* $a_\sigma \neq 0$. *Then* $L(x)^G = L^G(f)$ *for some polynomial* $f \in L[x]$. *In fact, if* $m = \min\{\deg g(x) : g(x) \in L[x]^G \setminus L\}$, *any polynomial* $f \in L[x]^G$ *with* $\deg f = m$ *satisfies the property* $L(x)^G = L^G(f)$.

Theorem 2.5 ([Ka1, Theorem 1.4]) *Let k be a field, G be a finite group. Assume that*
(i) *G contains an abelian normal subgroup H such that G/H is cyclic of order n;*
(ii) *$\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ is a unique factorization domain; and*
(iii) *k contains a primitive e-th root of unity where e is the exponent of G.*
If $G \to GL(V)$ is any finite-dimensional representation of G over k, then $k(V)^G$ is k-rational.

Theorem 2.6 ([Ka2, Theorem 1.8]) *Let $n \geq 3$ and G be a non-abelian p-group of order p^n such that G contains a cyclic subgroup of index p^2. Assume that k is any field satisfying that either* (i) $\operatorname{char} k = p > 0$, *or* (ii) $\operatorname{char} k \neq p$ *and k contains a primitive p^{n-2}-th root of unity. Then $k(G)$ is k-rational.*

Theorem 2.7 *Let k be a field with $\gcd\{\operatorname{char} k, n+1\} = 1$, $A = (a_{ij})_{0 \leq i,j \leq n} \in GL_{n+1}(k)$ and $k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be the rational function field of n variables over k. Define $L_i = a_{i0} + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} a_{ij} x_j \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$ and define a k-automorphism $\sigma : k(x_1, \dots, x_n) \to k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ by $\sigma(x_i) = L_i/L_0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. If the characteristic polynomial of the matrix A is $T^{n+1} - c \in k[T]$ where $c \in k \setminus \{0\}$, then there exist $y_1, \dots, y_n \in k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that $k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = k(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and $\sigma(y_i) = y_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $\sigma(y_n) = c/(y_1 y_2 \cdots y_n)$.*

Proof. Consider another rational function field $k(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n)$. Embed the field $k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ into $k(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n)$ by $x_i = u_i/u_0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Define a k-automorphism $\Phi : k(u_0, \dots, u_n) \to k(u_0, \dots, u_n)$ by $\Phi(u_i) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n} a_{ij} u_j$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. It is clear that the restriction of Φ to $k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is nothing but σ.

Since the characteristic polynomial of A is the separable polynomial $T^{n+1} - c$, the rational normal form of the matrix $(a_{ij})_{0 \leq i,j \leq n}$ is the companion matrix of the polynomial $T^{n+1} - c$. It follows that there exist $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n$ such that (i) $v_i = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n} b_{ij} u_j$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$ where $(b_{ij})_{0 \leq i,j \leq n} \in GL_{n+1}(k)$, (ii) $\Phi(v_i) = v_{i+1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $\Phi(v_n) = c v_0$.

Define $y_i = v_i/v_{i-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $k(y_1, \dots, y_n) = k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\sigma(y_i) = y_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $\sigma(y_n) = c/(y_1 y_2 \cdots y_n)$. □

Lemma 2.8 ([HKY, Lemma 3.6]) *Let k be any field, $a \in k \setminus \{0\}$. Let σ be a k-automorphism acting on $k(x, y)$ by*

$$\sigma : x \mapsto y \mapsto \frac{a}{xy}.$$

Then $k(x, y)^{\langle \sigma \rangle}$ is k-rational.

§3. Groups of order 243

From the data base of GAP, there are 67 groups of order 243. Their GAP codes are designated as $G(243, i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 67$. From now on, we abbreviate $G(243, i)$ as

$G(i)$.

family	rank	class	$G = G(i) = G(243, i)$, $i \in$	#
Φ_1		1	$\{1, 10, 23, 31, 48, 61, 67\}$ (G: abelian)	7
Φ_2	3	2	$\{2, 11, 12, 21, 24, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 49, 50, 62, 63, 64\}$	15
Φ_3	4	3	$\{13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55\}$	13
Φ_4	5	2	$\{37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47\}$	11
Φ_5	5	2	$\{65, 66\}$	2
Φ_6	5	3	$\{3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$	7
Φ_7	5	3	$\{56, 57, 58, 59, 60\}$	5
Φ_8	5	3	$\{22\}$ ($G \simeq C_{27} \rtimes C_9$)	1
Φ_9	5	4	$\{25, 26, 27\}$ ($G \simeq (C_9 \times C_9) \rtimes C_3$)	3
Φ_{10}	5	4	$\{28, 29, 30\}$	3
total				67

In the following we list the generators and relations of the 17 groups $G = G(i)$ which belong to Φ_6 ($3 \le i \le 9$), Φ_5 ($i = 65, 66$), Φ_7 ($56 \le i \le 60$) and Φ_{10} ($28 \le i \le 30$). Then we give some faithful representations of them over a field k containing ζ_e where $e = \exp(G)$.

Note that $Z(G) \simeq C_3 \times C_3$ (resp. C_3) when G belongs to Φ_6 (resp. Φ_5, Φ_7 or Φ_{10}).

Case Φ_6. $G = G(i) = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5 \rangle$, $3 \le i \le 9$ with $Z(G) = \langle f_4, f_5 \rangle \simeq C_3 \times C_3$, satisfying common relations

$$[f_2, f_1] = f_3, \quad [f_3, f_1] = f_4, \quad [f_3, f_2] = f_5, \quad f_3^3 = f_4^3 = f_5^3 = 1$$

and extra relations

(1) for $G(3)$ ($\Phi_6(1^5)$) : $f_1^3 = 1,\ f_2^3 = 1$;

(2) for $G(4)$ ($\Phi_6(221)b_1$) : $f_2^3 = 1,\ f_1^3 = f_4$;

(3) for $G(5)$ ($\Phi_6(221)c_2$) : $f_1^3 = f_2^3 = f_4$;

(4) for $G(6)$ ($\Phi_6(221)d_1$) : $f_1^3 = 1,\ f_2^3 = f_4^2$;

(5) for $G(7)$ ($\Phi_6(221)a$) : $f_1^3 = f_4, f_2^3 = f_4^2$;

(6) for $G(8)$ ($\Phi_6(221)c_1$) : $f_1^3 = f_5, f_2^3 = f_4$;

(7) for $G(9)$ ($\Phi_6(221)d_0$) : $f_1^3 = f_5^2, f_2^3 = f_4$.

Case Φ_5. $G = G(i) = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5\rangle$, $i = 65, 66$ with $Z(G) = \langle f_5\rangle \simeq C_3$ satisfying common relations

$$[f_2, f_1] = [f_4, f_1] = [f_3, f_2] = f_5, \quad [f_1, f_3] = [f_2, f_4] = [f_3, f_4] = 1,$$
$$f_2^3 = f_3^3 = f_4^3 = f_5^3 = 1$$

and extra relations

(1) for $G(65)$ $(\Phi_5(1^5))$: $f_1^3 = 1$;

(2) for $G(66)$ $(\Phi_5(2111)$: $f_1^3 = f_5$.

Case Φ_7. $G = G(i) = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5\rangle$, $56 \le i \le 60$ with $Z(G) = \langle f_5\rangle \simeq C_3$, satisfying common relations

$$[f_2, f_1] = f_4, \quad [f_3, f_2] = [f_4, f_1] = f_5, \quad [f_1, f_3] = [f_2, f_4] = [f_3, f_4] = 1, \quad f_4^3 = f_5^3 = 1$$

and extra relations

(1) for $G(56)$ $(\Phi_7(2111)b_1)$: $f_1^3 = f_2^3 = f_3^3 = 1$;

(2) for $G(57)$ $(\Phi_7(2111)b_2)$: $f_1^3 = f_3^3 = 1,\ f_2^3 = f_5$;

(3) for $G(58)$ $(\Phi_7(1^5))$: $f_1^3 = f_3^3 = 1,\ f_2^3 = f_5^2$;

(4) for $G(59)$ $(\Phi_7(2111)a)$: $f_3^3 = 1,\ f_1^3 = f_5, f_2^3 = f_5^2$;

(5) for $G(60)$ $(\Phi_7(2111)c)$: $f_1^3 = f_2^3 = 1,\ f_3^3 = f_5$.

Case Φ_{10}. $G = G(i) = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5\rangle$, $28 \le i \le 30$ with $Z(G) = \langle f_5\rangle \simeq C_3$, satisfying common relations

$$[f_2, f_1] = f_3, \quad [f_3, f_1] = f_4, \quad [f_3, f_2] = [f_4, f_1] = f_5, \quad [f_2, f_4] = [f_3, f_4] = 1,$$
$$f_4^3 = f_5^3 = 1, \quad f_2^3 = f_4^2, \quad f_3^3 = f_5^2$$

and extra relations

(1) for $G(28)$ $(\Phi_{10}(1^5))$: $f_1^3 = 1$;

(2) for $G(29)$ $(\Phi_{10}(2111)a_0)$: $f_1^3 = f_5$;

(3) for $G(30)$ $(\Phi_{10}(2111)a_1)$: $f_1^3 = f_5^2$.

We now give some faithful representations for groups which belong to Φ_6, Φ_5, Φ_7 and Φ_{10} respectively. Let I_n be the $n \times n$ identity matrix,

$$c_3^{(i)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \zeta^i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad c_3 = c_3^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$d_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \zeta^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad d_9^{(i)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta^i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \eta^{2i} \end{bmatrix}, \quad e_3^{(i)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \zeta^i \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\eta^3 = \zeta$, $\zeta^3 = 1$.

Case Φ_6. For groups $G = G(i)$, $(3 \leq i \leq 9)$ which belong to Φ_6, we take the following 6-dimensional faithful representations where

$$f_3 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} d_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & d_3 \end{bmatrix}, f_4 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \zeta I_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & I_3 \end{bmatrix}, f_5 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} I_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \zeta I_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

are common for each $3 \leq i \leq 9$ and

(1) for $G(3)$: $f_1 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} c_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & e_3^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}, f_2 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} e_3^{(1)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & c_3 \end{bmatrix}$;

(2) for $G(4)$: $f_1 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} c_3^{(1)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & e_3^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}, f_2 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} e_3^{(1)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & c_3 \end{bmatrix}$;

(3) for $G(5)$: $f_1 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} c_3^{(1)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & e_3^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}, f_2 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \eta e_3^{(1)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & c_3 \end{bmatrix}$;

(4) for $G(6)$: $f_1 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} c_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & e_3^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}, f_2 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \eta^2 e_3^{(1)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & c_3 \end{bmatrix}$;

(5) for $G(7)$: $f_1 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} c_3^{(1)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & e_3^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}, f_2 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \eta^2 e_3^{(1)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & c_3 \end{bmatrix}$;

(6) for $G(8)$: $f_1 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} c_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \eta e_3^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}, f_2 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \eta e_3^{(1)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & c_3 \end{bmatrix}$;

(7) for $G(9)$: $f_1 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} c_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \eta^2 e_3^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}, f_2 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \eta e_3^{(1)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & c_3 \end{bmatrix}$.

Case Φ_5. For groups $G = G(i)$, $(i = 65, 66)$ which belong to Φ_5, we take the following 9-dimensional faithful representations which are induced from a linear character on $\langle f_3, f_4, f_5\rangle$ where

$$f_2 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} c_3 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \zeta c_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \zeta^2 c_3 \end{bmatrix}, f_3 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} d_3 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & d_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & d_3 \end{bmatrix}, f_4 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} I_3 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \zeta I_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \zeta^2 I_3 \end{bmatrix}, f_5 \mapsto \zeta I_9$$

are common for each $i = 65, 66$ and

(1) for $G(65)$: $f_1 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & I_3 \\ I_3 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & I_3 & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$;

(2) for $G(66)$: $f_1 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & I_3 \\ \zeta I_3 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & I_3 & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$.

Case Φ_7. For groups $G = G(i)$, $(56 \leq i \leq 60)$ which belong to Φ_7, we take the following 9-dimensional faithful representations which are induced from a linear character on $\langle f_3, f_4, f_5\rangle$ where

$$f_4 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} I_3 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \zeta I_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \zeta^2 I_3 \end{bmatrix}, f_5 \mapsto \zeta I_9$$

are common for each $56 \leq i \leq 60$ and

(1) for $G(56)$: $f_1 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & I_3 \\ I_3 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & I_3 & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, f_2 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} c_3 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & c_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \zeta c_3 \end{bmatrix}, f_3 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} d_3 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & d_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & d_3 \end{bmatrix}$;

(2) for $G(57)$: $f_1 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & I_3 \\ I_3 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & I_3 & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, f_2 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} c_3^{(1)} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & c_3^{(1)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \zeta c_3^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}, f_3 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} d_3 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & d_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & d_3 \end{bmatrix}$;

(3) for $G(58)$: $f_1 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & I_3 \\ I_3 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & I_3 & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, f_2 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} c_3^{(2)} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & c_3^{(2)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \zeta c_3^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}, f_3 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} d_3 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & d_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & d_3 \end{bmatrix}$;

(4) for $G(59)$: $f_1 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & I_3 \\ \zeta I_3 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & I_3 & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, f_2 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} c_3^{(2)} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & c_3^{(2)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \zeta c_3^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}, f_3 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} d_3 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & d_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & d_3 \end{bmatrix}$;

(5) for $G(60)$: $f_1 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & I_3 \\ I_3 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & I_3 & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, f_2 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} c_3 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & c_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \zeta c_3 \end{bmatrix}, f_3 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \eta d_3 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \eta d_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \eta d_3 \end{bmatrix}$.

Case Φ_{10}. For groups $G = G(i)$, $(28 \leq i \leq 30)$ which belong to Φ_{10}, we take the following 9-dimensional faithful representations which are induced from a linear character on $\langle f_3, f_4, f_5 \rangle$ where

$$f_2 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & d_9^{(5)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & d_9^{(2)} \\ d_9^{(8)} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, f_3 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \eta^8 e^{(1)} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \eta^5 e^{(1)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \eta^2 e^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}, f_4 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} d_3 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & d_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & d_3 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$f_5 \mapsto \zeta I_9$$

are common for each $28 \leq i \leq 30$ and

(1) for $G(28)$: $f_1 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} c_3 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & c_3 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & c_3 \end{bmatrix}$;

(2) for $G(29)$: $f_1 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} c_3^{(1)} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & c_3^{(1)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & c_3^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}$;

(3) for $G(30)$: $f_1 \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} c_3^{(2)} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & c_3^{(2)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & c_3^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}$.

§4. The Case Φ_6: $G(i)$, $3 \leq i \leq 9$

Let $G = G(i)$ be the i-th group of order 243 in the GAP database where $3 \leq i \leq 9$. They belong to the isoclinism family Φ_6. In this section, we will prove that $k(G(i))$ is k-rational for $3 \leq i \leq 9$.

Recall that $\zeta = \zeta_3$ is a primitive 3-rd root of unity belonging to k, and η is a primitive 9-th root of unity satisfying $\eta^3 = \zeta$.

Case 1. $G = G(3)$.

Step 1.

We will find a faithful representation $G \to GL(V_3)$ according to the matrices as in Section 3. More precisely, if $\{x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{21}, x_{22}, x_{23}\}$ is a dual basis of V_3, we

choose the faithful representation $G \to GL(V_3)$ such that G acts on $\oplus_{\substack{1\le i\le 2\\ 1\le j\le 3}} k\cdot x_{ij}$ by the matrices as in Section 3. It follows that $k(V_3) = k(x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{21}, x_{22}, x_{23})$ and G acts on it as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&f_1 : x_{11} \mapsto x_{12}, x_{12} \mapsto x_{13}, x_{13} \mapsto x_{11}, x_{21} \mapsto x_{21}, x_{22} \mapsto x_{22}, x_{23} \mapsto \zeta^2 x_{23},\\
&f_2 : x_{11} \mapsto x_{11}, x_{12} \mapsto x_{12}, x_{13} \mapsto \zeta x_{13}, x_{21} \mapsto x_{22}, x_{22} \mapsto x_{23}, x_{23} \mapsto x_{21},\\
&f_3 : x_{11} \mapsto x_{11}, x_{12} \mapsto \zeta x_{12}, x_{13} \mapsto \zeta^2 x_{13}, x_{21} \mapsto x_{21}, x_{22} \mapsto \zeta x_{22}, x_{23} \mapsto \zeta^2 x_{23},\\
&f_4 : x_{11} \mapsto \zeta x_{11}, x_{12} \mapsto \zeta x_{12}, x_{13} \mapsto \zeta x_{13}, x_{21} \mapsto x_{21}, x_{22} \mapsto x_{22}, x_{23} \mapsto x_{23},\\
&f_5 : x_{11} \mapsto x_{11}, x_{12} \mapsto x_{12}, x_{13} \mapsto x_{13}, x_{21} \mapsto \zeta x_{21}, x_{22} \mapsto \zeta x_{22}, x_{23} \mapsto \zeta x_{23}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since V_3 is chosen such that it is a direct sum of inequivalent irreducible representations, we may apply Theorem 2.1. We find that $k(G)$ is rational over $k(V_3)^G$. Once we show that $k(V_3)^G$ is k-rational, it follows that $k(G)$ is also k-rational.

The remaining steps of this case are devoted to proving $k(x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{21}, x_{22}, x_{23})^G$ is k-rational.

Step 2.

Define $y_{11} = \frac{x_{11}}{x_{12}}$, $y_{12} = \frac{x_{12}}{x_{13}}$, $y_{13} = x_{13}$, $y_{21} = \frac{x_{21}}{x_{22}}$, $y_{22} = \frac{x_{22}}{x_{23}}$, $y_{23} = x_{23}$. Then $k(x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{21}, x_{22}, x_{23}) = k(y_{11}, y_{12}, y_{13}, y_{21}, y_{22}, y_{23})$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&f_1 : y_{11} \mapsto y_{12}, y_{12} \mapsto \tfrac{1}{y_{11}y_{12}}, y_{13} \mapsto y_{11}y_{12}y_{13}, y_{21} \mapsto y_{21}, y_{22} \mapsto \zeta y_{22}, y_{23} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{23},\\
&f_2 : y_{11} \mapsto y_{11}, y_{12} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{12}, y_{13} \mapsto \zeta y_{13}, y_{21} \mapsto y_{22}, y_{22} \mapsto \tfrac{1}{y_{21}y_{22}}, y_{23} \mapsto y_{21}y_{22}y_{23},\\
&f_3 : y_{11} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{11}, y_{12} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{12}, y_{13} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{13}, y_{21} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{21}, y_{22} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{22}, y_{23} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{23},\\
&f_4 : y_{11} \mapsto y_{11}, y_{12} \mapsto y_{12}, y_{13} \mapsto \zeta y_{13}, y_{21} \mapsto y_{21}, y_{22} \mapsto y_{22}, y_{23} \mapsto y_{23},\\
&f_5 : y_{11} \mapsto y_{11}, y_{12} \mapsto y_{12}, y_{13} \mapsto y_{13}, y_{21} \mapsto y_{21}, y_{22} \mapsto y_{22}, y_{23} \mapsto \zeta y_{23}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Apply Theorem 2.5 twice to $k(y_{11}, y_{12}, y_{13}, y_{21}, y_{22}, y_{23}) = k(y_{11}, y_{12}, y_{21}, y_{22})(y_{13}, y_{23})$, it suffices to show that $k(y_{11}, y_{12}, y_{21}, y_{22})^G$ is k-rational.

Step 3.

Since f_4 and f_5 act trivially on y_{11}, y_{12}, y_{21} and y_{22}, we find that $k(y_{11}, y_{12}, y_{21}, y_{22})^G = k(y_{11}, y_{12}, y_{21}, y_{22})^{\langle f_1, f_2, f_3\rangle}$. Define

$$z_1 = \frac{1}{y_{11}y_{21}y_{22}}, z_2 = \frac{y_{12}}{y_{11}}, z_3 = \frac{y_{21}}{y_{11}}, z_4 = \frac{y_{22}}{y_{11}}.$$

Because these z_i are fixed by f_3 and the determinant of the exponents of z_i with respect to y_j is -3, it is easy to see that

$$k(y_{11}, y_{12}, y_{21}, y_{22})^{\langle f_3\rangle} = k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4).$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&f_1 : z_1 \mapsto \frac{\zeta^2 z_1}{z_2}, z_2 \mapsto \frac{z_1 z_3 z_4}{z_2^2}, z_3 \mapsto \frac{z_3}{z_2}, z_4 \mapsto \frac{\zeta z_4}{z_2},\\
&f_2 : z_1 \mapsto z_3, z_2 \mapsto \zeta^2 z_2, z_3 \mapsto z_4, z_4 \mapsto z_1.
\end{aligned}
$$

Define

$$w_1 = \frac{z_1 + z_3 + z_4}{3}, w_2 = z_2, w_3 = \frac{z_1 + \zeta^2 z_3 + \zeta z_4}{3}, w_4 = \frac{z_1 + \zeta z_3 + \zeta^2 z_4}{3}.$$

Then $k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = k(w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 : w_1 &\mapsto \frac{\zeta^2 w_4}{w_2}, w_2 \mapsto \frac{(w_1 + w_3 + w_4)(w_1 + \zeta w_3 + \zeta^2 w_4)(w_1 + \zeta^2 w_3 + \zeta w_4)}{w_2^2}, \\ w_3 &\mapsto \frac{\zeta^2 w_1}{w_2}, w_4 \mapsto \frac{\zeta^2 w_3}{w_2}, \\ f_2 : w_1 &\mapsto w_1, w_2 \mapsto \zeta^2 w_2, w_3 \mapsto \zeta w_3, w_4 \mapsto \zeta^2 w_4. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$p_1 = w_1, p_2 = \frac{\zeta^2 w_4}{w_2}, p_3 = \frac{w_3^2}{w_1 w_4}, p_4 = \frac{w_1^2}{w_3 w_4}.$$

By the determinant trick again, we find that

$$k(w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4)^{\langle f_2 \rangle} = k(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4)$$

and

$$f_1 : \ p_1 \mapsto p_2, p_2 \mapsto \frac{p_3 p_4}{p_1 p_2 (1 - 3p_3 p_4 + p_3^2 p_4 + p_3 p_4^2)}, p_3 \mapsto p_4, p_4 \mapsto \frac{1}{p_3 p_4}.$$

It remains to show that $k(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4)^{\langle f_1 \rangle}$ is k-rational.

Step 4.

Define

$$q_1 = p_1, q_2 = p_2, q_3 = \frac{1}{1 + p_3 + p_3 p_4}, q_4 = \frac{p_3}{1 + p_3 + p_3 p_4}.$$

Then $k(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) = k(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 : q_1 &\mapsto q_2, q_2 \mapsto \frac{q_3 q_4 (1 - q_3 - q_4)}{q_1 q_2 (q_3 - 2q_3^2 + q_3^3 - 5q_3 q_4 + 6q_3^2 q_4 + q_4^2 + 3q_3 q_4^2 - q_4^3)}, \\ q_3 &\mapsto q_4, q_4 \mapsto 1 - q_3 - q_4. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$r_1 = q_1, r_2 = q_2, r_3 = q_3 + \zeta^2 q_4 + \zeta(1 - q_3 - q_4), r_4 = q_3 + \zeta q_4 + \zeta^2 (1 - q_3 - q_4).$$

Then $k(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) = k(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4)$ and

$$f_1 : \ r_1 \mapsto r_2, r_2 \mapsto \frac{1 + r_3^3 - 3r_3 r_4 + r_4^3}{3r_1 r_2 (3r_3 r_4 - r_4^3(\zeta + 2) + r_3^3(\zeta - 1))}, r_3 \mapsto \zeta r_3, r_4 \mapsto \zeta^2 r_4.$$

We also define

$$s_1 = \frac{r_3}{1+r_3+r_4}r_1, s_2 = f_1(s_1) = \frac{\zeta r_3}{1+\zeta r_3+\zeta^2 r_4}r_2, s_3 = r_3, s_4 = r_4.$$

Then $k(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4) = k(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4)$ and

$$f_1 : s_1 \mapsto s_2, s_2 \mapsto \frac{s_3^3}{3s_1s_2(3s_3s_4 - s_4^3(\zeta+2) + s_3^3(\zeta-1))}, s_3 \mapsto \zeta s_3, s_4 \mapsto \zeta^2 s_4.$$

Define $t_1 = s_1, t_2 = s_2$,

$$t_3 = f_1(s_2) = \frac{(\frac{s_3}{s_4})^3}{3s_1s_2(3(\frac{s_3}{s_4})(\frac{1}{s_4}) - (\zeta+2) + (\frac{s_3}{s_4})^3(\zeta-1))},$$

$t_4 = \frac{s_3}{s_4}$. Then $k(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) = k(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)$ and

$$f_1 : \ t_1 \mapsto t_2, t_2 \mapsto t_3, t_3 \mapsto t_1, t_4 \mapsto \zeta^2 t_4.$$

By Theorem 2.1, $k(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)^{\langle f_1 \rangle}$ is rational over $k(t_4)^{\langle f_1 \rangle}$. Since $k(t_4)^{\langle f_1 \rangle} = k(t_4^3)$ is k-rational, it follows that $k(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)^{\langle f_1 \rangle}$ is k-rational. Hence we conclude that $k(G(3))$ is k-rational.

Case 2. $G = G(4), G(5), G(6), G(7), G(8), G(9)$.

For $G = G(i)$, $(i = 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9)$, apply the same method as in Case 1: $G = G(3)$. We finally reduce the question to the rationality of $k(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)^{\langle f_1 \rangle}$ where the action of $\langle f_1 \rangle$ on $k(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)$ is given by

$$f_1 : \ t_1 \mapsto \zeta^{-j} t_2, t_2 \mapsto t_3, t_3 \mapsto \zeta^j t_1, t_4 \mapsto \zeta^2 t_4$$

where

$$j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \quad i = 3, 6, 8, 9, \\ 1 & \text{if} \quad i = 4, 5, 7. \end{cases}$$

Thus $k(G(i))$ is k-rational for $i = 6, 8, 9$ by the same reason as in Case 1. When $i = 4, 5, 7$, define

$$u_1 = \zeta t_1, u_2 = t_2, u_3 = t_3, u_4 = t_4.$$

Then $k(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) = k(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)$ and

$$f_1 : \ u_1 \mapsto u_2, u_2 \mapsto u_3, u_3 \mapsto u_1, u_4 \mapsto \zeta^2 u_4.$$

Hence $k(G(i))$ is also k-rational for $i = 4, 5, 7$.

§5. The Case Φ_5: $G(i)$, $65 \leq i \leq 66$

Let $G = G(i)$ be the i-th group of order 243 in the GAP database where $i = 65, 66$. They belong to the isoclinism family Φ_5. In this section, we will prove that $k(G(i))$ is k-rational for $i = 65, 66$. We will prove the rationality of $G(65)$ first, and deduce the rationality of $G(66)$ from it. Note that $G(65)$ and $G(66)$ are extraspecial 3-groups of order 243.

Case 1. $G = G(65)$.

Step 1.

Choose a faithful representation $G = G(65) \to GL(V_{65})$ according to the matrices as in Section 3. By Theorem 2.1, it remains to show that $k(V_{65})^G$ is k-rational. The action of G on $k(V_{65}) = k(x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{21}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{31}, x_{32}, x_{33})$ is given as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_1 &: x_{11} \mapsto x_{21}, x_{12} \mapsto x_{22}, x_{13} \mapsto x_{23}, x_{21} \mapsto x_{31}, x_{22} \mapsto x_{32}, x_{23} \mapsto x_{33}, \\
&\quad x_{31} \mapsto x_{11}, x_{32} \mapsto x_{12}, x_{33} \mapsto x_{13}, \\
f_2 &: x_{11} \mapsto x_{12}, x_{12} \mapsto x_{13}, x_{13} \mapsto x_{11}, x_{21} \mapsto \zeta x_{22}, x_{22} \mapsto \zeta x_{23}, x_{23} \mapsto \zeta x_{21}, \\
&\quad x_{31} \mapsto \zeta^2 x_{32}, x_{32} \mapsto \zeta^2 x_{33}, x_{33} \mapsto \zeta^2 x_{31}, \\
f_3 &: x_{11} \mapsto x_{11}, x_{12} \mapsto \zeta x_{12}, x_{13} \mapsto \zeta^2 x_{13}, x_{21} \mapsto x_{21}, x_{22} \mapsto \zeta x_{22}, x_{23} \mapsto \zeta^2 x_{23}, \\
&\quad x_{31} \mapsto x_{31}, x_{32} \mapsto \zeta x_{32}, x_{33} \mapsto \zeta^2 x_{33}, \\
f_4 &: x_{11} \mapsto x_{11}, x_{12} \mapsto x_{12}, x_{13} \mapsto x_{13}, x_{21} \mapsto \zeta x_{21}, x_{22} \mapsto \zeta x_{22}, x_{23} \mapsto \zeta x_{23}, \\
&\quad x_{31} \mapsto \zeta^2 x_{31}, x_{32} \mapsto \zeta^2 x_{32}, x_{33} \mapsto \zeta^2 x_{33}, \\
f_5 &: x_{11} \mapsto \zeta x_{11}, x_{12} \mapsto \zeta x_{12}, x_{13} \mapsto \zeta x_{13}, x_{21} \mapsto \zeta x_{21}, x_{22} \mapsto \zeta x_{22}, x_{23} \mapsto \zeta x_{23}, \\
&\quad x_{31} \mapsto \zeta x_{31}, x_{32} \mapsto \zeta x_{32}, x_{33} \mapsto \zeta x_{33}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 2.

Define $y_{11} = \frac{x_{11}}{x_{12}}$, $y_{12} = \frac{x_{12}}{x_{13}}$, $y_{13} = x_{13}$, $y_{21} = \frac{x_{21}}{x_{22}}$, $y_{22} = \frac{x_{22}}{x_{23}}$, $y_{23} = x_{23}$, $y_{31} = \frac{x_{31}}{x_{32}}$, $y_{32} = \frac{x_{32}}{x_{33}}$, $y_{33} = x_{33}$. Then

$$k(x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{21}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{31}, x_{32}, x_{33}) = k(y_{11}, y_{12}, y_{13}, y_{21}, y_{22}, y_{23}, y_{31}, y_{32}, y_{33})$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_1 &: y_{11} \mapsto y_{21}, y_{12} \mapsto y_{22}, y_{13} \mapsto y_{23}, y_{21} \mapsto y_{31}, y_{22} \mapsto y_{32}, y_{23} \mapsto y_{33}, \\
&\quad y_{31} \mapsto y_{11}, y_{32} \mapsto y_{12}, y_{33} \mapsto y_{13}, \\
f_2 &: y_{11} \mapsto y_{12}, y_{12} \mapsto \tfrac{1}{y_{11}y_{12}}, y_{13} \mapsto y_{11}y_{12}y_{13}, y_{21} \mapsto y_{22}, y_{22} \mapsto \tfrac{1}{y_{21}y_{22}}, y_{23} \mapsto \zeta y_{21}y_{22}y_{23}, \\
&\quad y_{31} \mapsto y_{32}, y_{32} \mapsto \tfrac{1}{y_{31}y_{32}}, y_{33} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{31}y_{32}y_{33}, \\
f_3 &: y_{11} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{11}, y_{12} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{12}, y_{13} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{13}, y_{21} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{21}, y_{22} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{22}, y_{23} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{23}, \\
&\quad y_{31} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{31}, y_{32} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{32}, y_{33} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{33},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$f_4 : y_{11} \mapsto y_{11}, y_{12} \mapsto y_{12}, y_{13} \mapsto y_{13}, y_{21} \mapsto y_{21}, y_{22} \mapsto y_{22}, y_{23} \mapsto \zeta y_{23},$$
$$y_{31} \mapsto y_{31}, y_{32} \mapsto y_{32}, y_{33} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{33},$$
$$f_5 : y_{11} \mapsto y_{11}, y_{12} \mapsto y_{12}, y_{13} \mapsto \zeta y_{13}, y_{21} \mapsto y_{21}, y_{22} \mapsto y_{22}, y_{23} \mapsto \zeta y_{23},$$
$$y_{31} \mapsto y_{31}, y_{32} \mapsto y_{32}, y_{33} \mapsto \zeta y_{33}.$$

Define

$$z_1 = \frac{y_{22}}{y_{32}}, z_2 = \frac{y_{32}}{y_{12}}, z_3 = \frac{y_{31}y_{32}}{y_{21}y_{22}}, z_4 = \frac{y_{11}y_{12}}{y_{31}y_{32}}, z_5 = y_{11}y_{22}y_{31},$$
$$z_6 = \frac{y_{12}y_{32}}{y_{21}y_{22}}, z_7 = \frac{y_{13}y_{23}}{y_{33}^2}, z_8 = \frac{y_{23}y_{33}}{y_{13}^2}, z_9 = y_{13}y_{22}y_{23}y_{31}y_{32}y_{33}.$$

Then

$$k(y_{11}, y_{12}, y_{13}, y_{21}, y_{22}, y_{23}, y_{31}, y_{32}, y_{33})^{\langle f_3, f_4, f_5\rangle} = k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6, z_7, z_8, z_9)$$

because the z_i's are $\langle f_3, f_4, f_5\rangle$-invariants and the determinant of the matrix of exponents is -27:

$$\mathrm{Det}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = -27. \tag{1}$$

The actions of f_1 and f_2 on $k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6, z_7, z_8, z_9)$ are given by

$$f_1 : z_1 \mapsto z_2, z_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_1 z_2}, z_3 \mapsto z_4, z_4 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_3 z_4},$$
$$z_5 \mapsto \frac{z_5}{z_1^2 z_3}, z_6 \mapsto \frac{z_1 z_6}{z_3}, z_7 \mapsto z_8, z_8 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_7 z_8}, z_9 \mapsto \frac{z_4 z_9}{z_1},$$
$$f_2 : z_1 \mapsto z_3, z_2 \mapsto z_4, z_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_1 z_3}, z_4 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_2 z_4},$$
$$z_5 \mapsto z_6, z_6 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_5 z_6}, z_7 \mapsto \frac{z_4 z_7}{z_3}, z_8 \mapsto \frac{z_8}{z_3 z_4^2}, z_9 \mapsto \frac{z_4 z_9}{z_1}.$$

It remains to show that $k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6, z_7, z_8, z_9)^{\langle f_1, f_2\rangle}$ is k-rational.

Step 3.

Define two elements $a = \frac{1}{z_1 z_3}$ and $b = \frac{1}{z_3}$. They satisfy the following identities:

$$\left(a, f_1(a), f_1^2(a), f_2(a), f_2^2(a), \frac{a}{f_2(a)}, \frac{f_2(a)}{f_2^2(a)}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{z_1 z_3}, \frac{1}{z_2 z_4}, z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4, z_1, z_3, \frac{1}{z_1^2 z_3}, \frac{z_1}{z_3}\right),$$
$$\left(b, f_2(b), f_2^2(b), f_1(b), f_1^2(b), \frac{b}{f_1(b)}, \frac{f_1(b)}{f_1^2(b)}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{z_3}, z_1 z_3, \frac{1}{z_1}, \frac{1}{z_4}, z_3 z_4, \frac{z_4}{z_3}, \frac{1}{z_3 z_4^2}\right).$$

Apply Lemma 2.3 twice to $k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_9)(z_5, z_6, z_7, z_8)$, there exist elements $Z_5, Z_6, Z_7, Z_8 \in k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_9)$ such that

$$k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_9)(z_5, z_6, z_7, z_8) = k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_9)(Z_5, Z_6, Z_7, Z_8)$$

and $\sigma(Z_i) = Z_i$ for $5 \leq i \leq 8$ and any $\sigma \in G$.

Namely, the action of G on $k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, Z_5, Z_6, Z_7, Z_8, z_9)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 : z_1 &\mapsto z_2, z_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_1 z_2}, z_3 \mapsto z_4, z_4 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_3 z_4}, \\ & Z_5 \mapsto Z_5, Z_6 \mapsto Z_6, Z_7 \mapsto Z_7, Z_8 \mapsto Z_8, z_9 \mapsto \frac{z_4 z_9}{z_1}, \\ f_2 : z_1 &\mapsto z_3, z_2 \mapsto z_4, z_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_1 z_3}, z_4 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_2 z_4}, \\ & Z_5 \mapsto Z_5, Z_6 \mapsto Z_6, Z_7 \mapsto Z_7, Z_8 \mapsto Z_8, z_9 \mapsto \frac{z_4 z_9}{z_1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Hence it suffices to show that $k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_9)^{\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle}$ is k-rational.

Step 4.

Define $w_1 = \frac{z_4 z_9}{z_1}, w_2 = \frac{z_9}{z_1 z_2 z_3}, w_3 = z_3, w_4 = z_4, w_5 = z_9$. Then $k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_9) = k(w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &: w_1 \mapsto w_2, w_2 \mapsto w_5, w_3 \mapsto w_4, w_4 \mapsto \frac{1}{w_3 w_4}, w_5 \mapsto w_1, \\ f_2 &: w_1 \mapsto w_2, w_2 \mapsto w_5, w_3 \mapsto \frac{w_1}{w_3 w_4 w_5}, w_4 \mapsto \frac{w_2 w_3}{w_1}, w_5 \mapsto w_1. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} & s_1 = w_1 + w_2 + w_5, s_2 = w_1 + \zeta^2 w_2 + \zeta w_5, s_3 = w_1 + \zeta w_2 + \zeta^2 w_5, \\ & s_4 = \frac{1 + \zeta^2 w_3 + \zeta w_3 w_4}{1 + w_3 + w_3 w_4}, s_5 = \frac{1 + \zeta w_3 + \zeta^2 w_3 w_4}{1 + w_3 + w_3 w_4}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $k(w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5) = k(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & f_1 : s_1 \mapsto s_1, s_2 \mapsto \zeta s_2, s_3 \mapsto \zeta^2 s_3, s_4 \mapsto \zeta s_4, s_5 \mapsto \zeta^2 s_5, \\ & f_2 : s_1 \mapsto s_1, s_2 \mapsto \zeta s_2, s_3 \mapsto \zeta^2 s_3, s_4 \mapsto \frac{\zeta^2(s_2 + s_1 s_4 + s_3 s_5)}{s_1 + s_3 s_4 + s_2 s_5}, s_5 \mapsto \frac{\zeta(s_3 + s_2 s_4 + s_1 s_5)}{s_1 + s_3 s_4 + s_2 s_5}. \end{aligned}$$

Define $t_1 = s_1, t_2 = s_2^3, t_3 = \frac{s_3}{s_2^2}, t_4 = s_2^2 s_4, t_5 = s_2 s_5$. Then $k(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5)^{\langle f_1 \rangle} = k(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5)$ and

$$f_2 : t_1 \mapsto t_1, t_2 \mapsto t_2, t_3 \mapsto t_3, t_4 \mapsto \frac{\zeta(t_2 + t_1 t_4 + t_2 t_3 t_5)}{t_1 + t_3 t_4 + t_5}, t_5 \mapsto \frac{\zeta^2(t_2 t_3 + t_4 + t_1 t_5)}{t_1 + t_3 t_4 + t_5}. \quad (3)$$

Hence we will show that $k(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5)^{\langle f_2 \rangle}$ is k-rational.

Step 5.

We use Theorem 2.7 to simplify the action of f_2. Define $L_i \in k(t_1, t_2, t_3)[t_4, t_5]$ to be the polynomials satisfying $f_2(t_4) = \frac{L_1}{L_0}$ and $f_2(t_5) = \frac{L_2}{L_0}$ in the above Formula (3). The coefficient matrix of L_0, L_1, L_2 with respect to t_4, t_5 is

$$\begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_3 & 1 \\ \zeta t_2 & \zeta t_1 & \zeta t_2 t_3 \\ \zeta^2 t_2 t_3 & \zeta^2 & \zeta^2 t_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

whose characteristic polynomial is $T^3 - D$ where $D = t_1^3 + t_2 - 3t_1 t_2 t_3 + t_2^2 t_3^3$. By Theorem 2.7, there exist u_4, u_5 such that $k(t_1, t_2, t_3, u_4, u_5) = k(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5)$ and

$$f_2 : t_1 \mapsto t_1, t_2 \mapsto t_2, t_3 \mapsto t_3, u_4 \mapsto u_5, u_5 \mapsto \frac{t_1^3 + t_2 - 3t_1 t_2 t_3 + t_2^2 t_3^3}{u_4 u_5}.$$

Define $U_1 = \frac{t_1 t_3 - 1}{t_3}, U_2 = \frac{t_2 t_3^3 - 1}{t_3}, U_3 = t_3, U_4 = u_4, U_5 = u_5$. Then $k(t_1, t_2, t_3, u_4, u_5) = k(U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4, U_5)$ and

$$f_2 : U_1 \mapsto U_1, U_2 \mapsto U_2, U_3 \mapsto U_3, U_4 \mapsto U_5, U_5 \mapsto \frac{3U_1^2 - 3U_1 U_2 + U_2^2 + U_1^3 U_3}{U_3 U_4 U_5}.$$

Note that both the numerator and the denominator of $f_2(U_5)$ are linear in U_3. Define $v_1 = U_1, v_2 = U_2, v_3 = \frac{3U_1^2 - 3U_1 U_2 + U_2^2 + U_1^3 U_3}{U_3 U_4 U_5}, v_4 = U_4, v_5 = U_5$. Then $k(U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4, U_5) = k(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5)$ and

$$f_2 : v_1 \mapsto v_1, v_2 \mapsto v_2, v_3 \mapsto v_4, v_4 \mapsto v_5, v_5 \mapsto v_3.$$

The cyclic group $\langle f_2 \rangle$ acts linearly on $k(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5)$. It follows from Theorem 1.1 that $k(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5)^{\langle f_2 \rangle}$ is k-rational. Hence $k(V_{65})^G$ is k-rational.

Case 2. $G = G(66)$.

For $G = G(66)$, we can follow the same way as in Case 1: $G = G(65)$. In the present situation, the formula (2) should be replaced by the following

$$f_1 : z_1 \mapsto z_2, z_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_1 z_2}, z_3 \mapsto z_4, z_4 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_3 z_4}, z_9 \mapsto \zeta \frac{z_4 z_9}{z_1},$$
$$f_2 : z_1 \mapsto z_3, z_2 \mapsto z_4, z_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_1 z_3}, z_4 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_2 z_4}, z_9 \mapsto \frac{z_4 z_9}{z_1}.$$

Apply Theorem 2.1 to $k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)(z_9)$. We find an element Z_9 with the property that $k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_9) = k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, Z_9)$ and $f_1(Z_9) = f_2(Z_9) = Z_9$. Thus $k(G(66))$ is k-isomorphic to $k(G(65))$. Hence the result.

From the above proof (see Step 3 of Case 1, in particular), we obtain the following proposition as a corollary.

Proposition 5.1 *Let k be any field. Let $\langle f_1, f_2\rangle \simeq C_3 \times C_3$ act on the rational function field $k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_9)$ with five variables z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_9 over k by k-automorphism*

$$f_1 : z_1 \mapsto z_2, z_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_1 z_2}, z_3 \mapsto z_4, z_4 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_3 z_4}, z_9 \mapsto z_9,$$
$$f_2 : z_1 \mapsto z_3, z_2 \mapsto z_4, z_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_1 z_3}, z_4 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_2 z_4}, z_9 \mapsto z_9.$$

Then $k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_9)^{\langle f_1, f_2\rangle}$ is k-rational. However, it is not clear to us whether $k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)^{\langle f_1, f_2\rangle}$ is k-rational or not.

§6. Proof of Theorem 1.13

Proof of Theorem 1.13 ——————

Let G be a group of order 243.

If $\operatorname{char} k = 3$, then $k(G)$ is k-rational by Theorem 1.2. From now on, we will assume that $\operatorname{char} k \neq 3$ and k contains ζ_e where $e = \exp(G)$.

By Theorem 1.12, $\mathrm{Br}_{v,\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}(G)) \neq 0$ if and only if G belongs to Φ_{10}. Hence we should consider the cases $\Phi_1, \ldots, \Phi_9$.

If G belongs to Φ_1, then G is abelian group and hence $k(G)$ is k-rational by Theorem 1.1.

If G belongs to Φ_2, Φ_3, Φ_4 or Φ_9, then there exists a normal abelian subgroup N of G such that G/N is cyclic of order 3 (these groups correspond to the groups in Bender's classification [Be, Section 4]). Hence $k(G)$ is k-rational by Theorem 2.5.

If G belongs to Φ_8, then there exists a normal cyclic subgroup C_{27} of G of order 27 such that G/C_{27} is cyclic of order 9. Hence $k(G)$ is k-rational by Theorem 2.6.

If G belongs to Φ_6, $k(G)$ is k-rational by a result as in Section 4.

If G belongs to Φ_5, $k(G)$ is k-rational by a result as in Section 5. □

Proof of Proposition 1.14 ——————

Let G be a group of order 243 which belongs to Φ_7, i.e. $G = G(i)$, $(56 \leq i \leq 60)$.

Case 1. $G = G(56)$ and $G(60)$.

We choose the representation $G \longrightarrow GL(V_{56})$ and $G \longrightarrow GL(V_{60})$ given as in Section 3.

By Theorem 2.1, $k(G(56)) = k(V_{56})^{G(56)}(u_i : 1 \le i \le 234)$ and $k(G(60)) = K(V_{60})(v_i : 1 \le i \le 234)$ for some algebraic independent variables u_i, v_i, $1 \le i \le 234$.

In $k(V_{56})$, define $X_i = x_i/x_1$ for $2 \le i \le 9$. Then $k(x_1, \ldots, x_9)^{G(56)} = k(X_i : 2 \le i \le 9)^{G(56)}(u)$ by Theorem 2.4. For $k(V_{60}) = k(x_1, \ldots, x_9)$, also define $X_i = x_i/x_1$ for $2 \le i \le 9$. Then $k(x_1, \ldots, x_9)^{G(60)} = k(X_i : 2 \le i \le 9)^{G(60)}(v)$ by Theorem 2.4.

Compare the action of $G(56)$ on $k(X_i : 2 \le i \le 9)$ with that of $G(60)$ on $k(X_i : 2 \le i \le 9)$. We find that they are completely the same. Hence $k(X_i : 2 \le i \le 9)^{G(56)}$ is k-isomorphic to $k(X_i : 2 \le i \le 9)^{G(60)}$. Thus $k(G(56))$ is k-isomorphic to $k(G(60))$.

Case 2. $G(i)$, $56 \le i \le 59$.

In these cases, the idea is the same as in Case 1 of Section 5.

For $56 \le i \le 59$, choose the faithful representation $G \to GL(V_i)$ according to the matrices as in Section 3. We take $k(V_i) = k(x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{21}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{31}, x_{32}, x_{33})$ and define the same $y_{11} = \frac{x_{11}}{x_{12}}$, $y_{12} = \frac{x_{12}}{x_{13}}$, $y_{13} = x_{13}$, $y_{21} = \frac{x_{21}}{x_{22}}$, $y_{22} = \frac{x_{22}}{x_{23}}$, $y_{23} = x_{23}$ as in Case 1 of Section 5. Then we have

$$k(x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{21}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{31}, x_{32}, x_{33}) = k(y_{11}, y_{12}, y_{13}, y_{21}, y_{22}, y_{23}, y_{31}, y_{32}, y_{33}).$$

Define

$$z_1 = \frac{y_{22}}{y_{32}}, z_2 = \frac{y_{32}}{y_{12}}, z_3 = \frac{y_{31}y_{32}}{y_{21}y_{22}}, z_4 = \frac{y_{11}y_{12}}{y_{31}y_{32}}, z_5 = y_{11}y_{22}y_{31},$$
$$z_6 = m_1\frac{y_{12}y_{32}}{y_{21}y_{22}}, z_7 = \frac{y_{13}y_{23}}{y_{33}^2}, z_8 = m_2\frac{y_{23}y_{33}}{y_{13}^2}, z_9 = y_{13}y_{22}y_{23}y_{31}y_{32}y_{33}$$

where

$$(m_1, m_2) = \begin{cases} (1,1) & \text{if} \quad i = 56, \\ (\zeta^2, 1) & \text{if} \quad i = 57, \\ (\zeta, 1) & \text{if} \quad i = 58, \\ (\zeta, \zeta) & \text{if} \quad i = 59. \end{cases}$$

By evaluating the determinant of the exponents (see Formula (1)), we have

$$k(y_{11}, y_{12}, y_{13}, y_{21}, y_{22}, y_{23}, y_{31}, y_{32}, y_{33})^{\langle f_3, f_4, f_5\rangle} = k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6, z_7, z_8, z_9)$$

and the actions of $G(i)$, $(56 \le i \le 59)$ on $k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6, z_7, z_8, z_9)$ are given by

$$f_1 : z_1 \mapsto z_2, z_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_1z_2}, z_3 \mapsto z_4, z_4 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_3z_4},$$
$$z_5 \mapsto \frac{z_5}{z_1^2z_3}, z_6 \mapsto \frac{z_1z_6}{z_3}, z_7 \mapsto z_8, z_8 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_7z_8}, z_9 \mapsto m_2\frac{z_4z_9}{z_1},$$
$$f_2 : z_1 \mapsto z_3, z_2 \mapsto z_4, z_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_1z_3}, z_4 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_2z_4},$$
$$z_5 \mapsto z_6, z_6 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_5z_6}, z_7 \mapsto \zeta\frac{z_4z_7}{z_3}, z_8 \mapsto \zeta\frac{z_8}{z_3z_4^2}, z_9 \mapsto m_1^2\zeta\frac{z_4z_9}{z_1}.$$

Applying Theorem 2.1 to $k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6, z_7, z_8)(z_9)$, there exists $G(i)$-invariant Z_9 such that $k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6, z_7, z_8, z_9)^{G(i)} = k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6, z_7, z_8)^{G(i)}(Z_9)$. Note that the actions of $G(i)$ $(56 \leq i \leq 59)$ on these $k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6, z_7, z_8)$ are exactly the same. Hence the result.

Proof of Proposition 1.15 ——————

Let G be a group of order 243 which belongs to Φ_{10}, i.e. $G = G(i)$, $(28 \leq i \leq 30)$. For $i = 28, 29, 30$, we choose the representation $G(i) \longrightarrow GL(V_i)$ given as in Section 3.

The action of $G(28)$ on $k(V_{28}) = k(x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{21}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{31}, x_{32}, x_{33})$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_1 &: x_{11} \mapsto x_{12}, x_{12} \mapsto x_{13}, x_{13} \mapsto x_{11}, x_{21} \mapsto x_{22}, x_{22} \mapsto x_{23}, x_{23} \mapsto x_{21},\\
&\quad x_{31} \mapsto x_{32}, x_{32} \mapsto x_{33}, x_{33} \mapsto x_{31},\\
f_2 &: x_{11} \mapsto x_{31}, x_{12} \mapsto \eta^8 x_{32}, x_{13} \mapsto \eta^7 x_{33}, x_{21} \mapsto x_{11}, x_{22} \mapsto \eta^5 x_{12}, x_{23} \mapsto \eta x_{13},\\
&\quad x_{31} \mapsto x_{21}, x_{32} \mapsto \eta^2 x_{22}, x_{33} \mapsto \eta^4 x_{23},\\
f_3 &: x_{11} \mapsto \eta^8 x_{11}, x_{12} \mapsto \eta^8 x_{12}, x_{13} \mapsto \eta^2 x_{13}, x_{21} \mapsto \eta^5 x_{21}, x_{22} \mapsto \eta^5 x_{22}, x_{23} \mapsto \eta^8 x_{23},\\
&\quad x_{31} \mapsto \eta^2 x_{31}, x_{32} \mapsto \eta^2 x_{32}, x_{33} \mapsto \eta^5 x_{33},\\
f_4 &: x_{11} \mapsto x_{11}, x_{12} \mapsto \zeta x_{12}, x_{13} \mapsto \zeta^2 x_{13}, x_{21} \mapsto x_{21}, x_{22} \mapsto \zeta x_{22}, x_{23} \mapsto \zeta^2 x_{23},\\
&\quad x_{31} \mapsto x_{31}, x_{32} \mapsto \zeta x_{32}, x_{33} \mapsto \zeta^2 x_{33},\\
f_5 &: x_{11} \mapsto \zeta x_{11}, x_{12} \mapsto \zeta x_{12}, x_{13} \mapsto \zeta x_{13}, x_{21} \mapsto \zeta x_{21}, x_{22} \mapsto \zeta x_{22}, x_{23} \mapsto \zeta x_{23},\\
&\quad x_{31} \mapsto \zeta x_{31}, x_{32} \mapsto \zeta x_{32}, x_{33} \mapsto \zeta x_{33}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $y_{11} = \frac{x_{11}}{x_{12}}$, $y_{12} = \frac{x_{12}}{x_{13}}$, $y_{13} = x_{13}$, $y_{21} = \frac{x_{21}}{x_{22}}$, $y_{22} = \frac{x_{22}}{x_{23}}$, $y_{23} = x_{23}$, $y_{31} = \frac{x_{31}}{x_{32}}$, $y_{32} = \frac{x_{32}}{x_{33}}$, $y_{33} = x_{33}$. Then

$$k(x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{21}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{31}, x_{32}, x_{33}) = k(y_{11}, y_{12}, y_{13}, x_{21}, y_{22}, y_{23}, y_{31}, y_{32}, y_{33})$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_1 &: y_{11} \mapsto y_{12}, y_{12} \mapsto \frac{1}{y_{11}y_{12}}, y_{13} \mapsto y_{11}y_{12}y_{13}, y_{21} \mapsto y_{22}, y_{22} \mapsto \frac{1}{y_{21}y_{22}}, y_{23} \mapsto y_{21}y_{22}y_{23},\\
&\quad y_{31} \mapsto y_{32}, y_{32} \mapsto \frac{1}{y_{31}y_{32}}, y_{33} \mapsto y_{31}y_{32}y_{33},\\
f_2 &: y_{11} \mapsto \eta y_{31}, y_{12} \mapsto \eta y_{32}, y_{13} \mapsto \eta^2 y_{33}, y_{21} \mapsto \eta^4 y_{11}, y_{22} \mapsto \eta^4 y_{12}, y_{23} \mapsto \eta y_{13},\\
&\quad y_{31} \mapsto \eta^7 y_{21}, y_{32} \mapsto \eta^7 y_{22}, y_{33} \mapsto \eta^4 y_{23},\\
f_3 &: y_{11} \mapsto y_{11}, y_{12} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{12}, y_{13} \mapsto \eta^2 y_{13}, y_{21} \mapsto y_{21}, y_{22} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{22}, y_{23} \mapsto \eta^8 y_{23},\\
&\quad y_{31} \mapsto y_{31}, y_{32} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{32}, y_{33} \mapsto \eta^5 y_{33},\\
f_4 &: y_{11} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{11}, y_{12} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{12}, y_{13} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{13}, y_{21} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{21}, y_{22} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{22}, y_{23} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{23},\\
&\quad y_{31} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{31}, y_{32} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{32}, y_{33} \mapsto \zeta^2 y_{33},\\
f_5 &: y_{11} \mapsto y_{11}, y_{12} \mapsto y_{12}, y_{13} \mapsto \zeta y_{13}, y_{21} \mapsto y_{21}, y_{22} \mapsto y_{22}, y_{23} \mapsto \zeta y_{23},\\
&\quad y_{31} \mapsto y_{31}, y_{32} \mapsto y_{32}, y_{33} \mapsto \zeta y_{33}.
\end{aligned}
$$

For $G = G(29)$ and $G(30)$, we follow the same way to $G(28)$ and take the same y_{ij}'s. Define

$$z_1 = \frac{y_{12}}{y_{22}}, z_2 = \frac{y_{21}y_{22}}{y_{11}y_{12}}, z_3 = \frac{y_{32}}{y_{12}\zeta}, z_4 = \frac{y_{11}y_{12}\zeta^2}{y_{31}y_{32}}, z_5 = \frac{y_{12}y_{13}y_{21}y_{23}\zeta^2}{y_{31}y_{32}y_{33}^2},$$
$$z_6 = \frac{y_{11}y_{13}y_{32}y_{33}\zeta^2}{y_{21}y_{22}y_{23}^2}, z_7 = \frac{y_{11}y_{22}y_{32}y_{33}}{y_{23}}, z_8 = m_1\frac{y_{12}y_{33}}{y_{21}^2y_{22}^2y_{23}}, z_9 = \frac{1}{y_{11}y_{12}y_{13}y_{22}y_{23}y_{33}}$$

where

$$m_1 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad i = 28, \\ \zeta & \text{if} \quad i = 29, \\ \zeta^2 & \text{if} \quad i = 30. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$k(y_{11}, y_{12}, y_{13}, y_{21}, y_{22}, y_{23}, y_{31}, y_{32}, y_{33})^{\langle f_3, f_4, f_5\rangle} = k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6, z_7, z_8, z_9)$$

because the z_i's are fixed by the actions of f_3, f_4, f_5 and the determinant of the matrix of exponents is 27:

$$\mathrm{Det}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & -2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = 27.$$

The actions of $G(28)$, $G(29)$ and $G(30)$ on $k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6, z_7, z_8, z_9)$ are given by

$$f_1 : z_1 \mapsto z_2, z_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_1z_2}, z_3 \mapsto z_4, z_4 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_3z_4},$$
$$z_5 \mapsto \zeta^2\frac{z_5}{z_1^2z_3}, z_6 \mapsto \zeta^2\frac{z_1z_6}{z_3}, z_7 \mapsto z_8, z_8 \mapsto \frac{z_1^2z_2z_6}{z_5z_7z_8}, z_9 \mapsto \zeta m_1^2\frac{z_4z_9}{z_1},$$
$$f_2 : z_1 \mapsto z_3, z_2 \mapsto z_4, z_3 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_1z_3}, z_4 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_2z_4},$$
$$z_5 \mapsto z_6, z_6 \mapsto \frac{1}{z_5z_6}, z_7 \mapsto \zeta^2\frac{z_7}{z_2z_3z_4z_6}, z_8 \mapsto \frac{z_2z_3^2z_8}{z_6}, z_9 \mapsto \zeta\frac{z_4z_9}{z_1}.$$

By applying Theorem 2.4 to $k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6, z_7, z_8)(z_9)$, we reduce the question on the rationality of $k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6, z_7, z_8)^{\langle f_1, f_2\rangle}$. But the actions of f_1, f_2 on $k(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6, z_7, z_8)$ are the same for these three groups. Thus we finish the proof.

References

[AHK] H. Ahmad, M. Hajja and M. Kang, *Rationality of some projective linear actions*, J. Algebra 228 (2000), 643–658.

[As] A. Asok, *Rationality problems and conjectures of Milnor and Bloch-Kato*, Compos. Math. 149 (2013), 1312–1326.

[Be] H. A. Bender, A determination of the groups of order p^5, Ann. of Math. (2) 29 (1927/28), 61–72.

[Bo] F. A. Bogomolov, *The Brauer group of quotient spaces by linear group actions*, Math. USSR Izv. 30 (1988), 455–485.

[BMP] F. A. Bogomolov, J. Maciel and T. Petrov, *Unramified Brauer groups of finite simple groups of type A_l*, Amer. J. Math. 126 (2004), 935–949.

[CHKK] H. Chu, S.-J. Hu, M. Kang and B. E. Kunyavskii, *Noether's problem and the unramified Brauer group for groups of order 64*, International Math. Research Notices 12 (2010), 2329–2366.

[CHKP] H. Chu, S.-J. Hu, M. Kang and Y. G. Prokhorov, *Noether's problem for groups of order 32*, J. Algebra 320 (2008), 3022–3035.

[CK] H. Chu and M. Kang, *Rationality of p-group actions*, J. Algebra 237 (2001), 673–690.

[CTO] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and M. Ojanguren, *Variétés unirationnelles non rationnelles: au-delà de léxample d'Artin et Mumford*, Invent. Math. 97 (1989), 141–158.

[CTS] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc, *The rationality problem for fields of invariants under linear algebraic groups* (*with special regards to the Brauer group*), in "Proc. International Colloquium on Algebraic Groups and Homogeneous Spaces, Mumbai, 2004", edited by V. Mehta, Narosa Publishing House, 2007.

[Fi] E. Fischer, *Die Isomorphie der Invariantenkörper der endlichen Abel'schen Gruppen linearer Transformationen*, Nachr. Königl. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen (1915), 77–80.

[Ga] W. Gaschütz, *Fixkörper von p-Automorphismengruppen reintranszendenter Körpererweiterungen von p-Charakteristik*, Math. Zeit. 71 (1959), 466–468.

[GAP] The GAP Group. *GAP – Groups, Algorithms and Programming*, Version 4.4.10, 2007, http://www.gap-system, org.

[GMS] S. Garibaldi, A. Merkurjev and J-P. Serre, *Cohomological invariants in Galois cohomology*, University Lecture Series, 28. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.

[HK] M. Hajja and M. Kang, *Some actions of symmetric groups*, J. Algebra 177 (1995), 511–535.

[HKY] A. Hoshi, H. Kitayama and A. Yamasaki, *Rationality problem of three-dimensional monomial group actions*, J. Algebra 341 (2011), 45–108.

[HoK] A. Hoshi and M. Kang, *Unramified Brauer groups for groups of order p^5*, arXiv: 1109.2966.

[HKKu] A. Hoshi, M. Kang and B. E. Kunyavskii, *Noether's problem and unramified Brauer groups*, Asian J. Math. 17 (2013), 689–714.

[Ja] R. James, *The groups of order p^6 (p an odd prime)*, Math. Comp. 34 (1980), 613–637.

[JNOB] R. James, M. F. Newman and E. A. O'Brien, *The groups of order 128*, J. Algebra 129 (1990), 136–158.

[Ka1] M. Kang, *Rationality problem for some meta-abelian groups*, J. Algebra 322 (2009), 1214–1219.

[Ka2] M. Kang, *Noether's problem for p-groups with a cyclic subgroup of index p^2*, Adv. Math. 226 (2011), 218–234.

[Ka3] M. Kang, *Retract rational fields*, J. Algebra 349 (2012), 22–37.

[Ku1] H. Kuniyoshi, *On purely-transcendency of a certain field*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) 6 (1954), 101–108.

[Ku2] H. Kuniyoshi, *On a problem of Chevalley*, Nagoya Math. J. 8 (1955), 65–67.

[Ku3] H. Kuniyoshi, *Certain subfields of rational function fields*, Proceedings of the international symposium on algebraic number theory, Tokyo & Nikko, 1955, 241–243, Science Council of Japan, Tokyo, 1956.

[Mo] P. Moravec, *Unramified Brauer groups of finite and infinite groups*, Amer. J. Math. 134 (2012), 1679–1704.

[MT] Y. I. Manin and M. A. Tsfasman, *Rational varieties: algebra, geometry and arithmetric*, Russian Math. Survey 41 (1986), 51–116.

[Pe1] E. Peyre, *Unramified cohomology and rationality problem*, Math. Ann. 296 (1993), 247–268.

[Pe2] E. Peyre, *Unramified cohomology of degree 3 and Noether's problem*, Invent. Math. 171 (2008), 191–225.

[Sa1] D. J. Saltman, *Generic Galois extensions and problems in field theory*, Adv. Math. 43 (1982), 250–283.

[Sa2] D. J. Saltman, *Noether's problem over an algebraically closed field*, Invent. Math. 77 (1984), 71–84.

[Sa3] D. J. Saltman, *Retract rational fields and cyclic Galois extensions*, Israel J. Math. 47 (1984), 165–215.

[Sw1] R. G. Swan, *Invariant rational functions and a problem of Steenrod*, Invent. Math. **7** (1969) 148–158.

[Sw2] R. G. Swan, *Noether's problem in Galois theory*, in "Emmy Noether in Bryn Mawr", edited by B. Srinivasan and J. Sally, Springer-Verlag, 1983, Berlin.